ORIGINAL

FILED

12/06/2016

Ed Smith

From:

Anderson, Diane

Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalfinater: AF 09-0688

Keven Reinschmidt <communications@montanafamily.org>

Sent:

Monday, December 5, 2016 3:24 PM

To:

Court, SCclerk

Subject:

Dec 5, 2016

Montana Supreme Court - rule 8.4(q)

FILED

DEC 06 2016

Honorable Ed Smith P.O. Box 203003 Helena, MT 59620-3003 Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

I find it highly ironic that in the interest of being non-discriminatory this considered rule change hasn't been recognized as being just the opposite.

How could one governing body justify earmarking one profession, or a subset of a profession and, in effect, place a gag order on it and not call it discrimination? This action is absurd and, if put into effect, would be a starting point for an erosion of a certain group of attorneys 1st Amendment rights.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keven Reinschmidt 2941 Cactus Dr Billings, MT 59102-0870 kreinschmidt@ballardpetroleum.com